

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED S'TATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Richard N. Zare | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 5979 | | |
|----------------------|------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/008,482 | 1 | 11/13/2001 | | M-11147-1C US | | | |
| 27869 | 7590 | 01/08/2003 | | | | | |
| SKJERVE | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | | | |
| THREE EM SAN FRAN | | ERO CENTER, 28 A 94111 | TH FLOOR | THERKORN, | THERKORN, ERNEST G | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 1723 | 2 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No.

| | 10/008,482 ZARE | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | THERKORN | 1723 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addres | ·s |
| | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET | TO EXPIRE | _ MONTH(S) FROM | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | from the |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply | he statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS | 60) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun. | ication. |
| Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t | he application to become ABAND | ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | this communication, even it time | y filed, thay feduce any | |
| Status | 1./ 0.1 | (\cdot / \cdot) | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/13 | 101 (Applicat | ION TILINGS | • |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 13 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance | except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | arte Quayle, 4935 C.D | . 11; 453 O.G. 213. | : |
| Disposition of Claims | | is/are nending in the | annlication |
| 4) X Claim(s) (3 | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | om consideration. |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | | is/are objected | to. |
| 8)XI Claims 1-13 | are subjec | t to restriction and/or elec | ction requirement. |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar | e a) \square accepted or $$ b | \square objected to by the Exa | aminer. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) 🗌 | approved b) \square disapprov | ed by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office action. | | |
| 12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha | ve been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha | ve been received in Ap | oplication No. | • |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |). | Stage |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provision | | | : |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest | c priority under 35 U.S | s.c. 33 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | A) Intension Commercia | PTO-413) Paper No(s). | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | ent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | 9-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19 | |
| the state of the s | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/008,482

Art Unit: 1723

ELECTION

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Columns having at least one photopolymer frit and columns having separation medium being formed of a photopolymer are considered to be distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1723

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (703) 308-0362.

Ernest G. Therkorn Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

EGT/12 January 7, 2002